# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### BOSTON REGION

In the Matter of:

PUBLIC HEARING:

RE: PROPOSED DRAFT NPDES DISCHARGE PERMIT FOR

THE CITY OF KEENE, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Keene Public Library 60 Winter Street Keene, New Hampshire

Thursday July 27, 2006

The above entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to Notice at  $6:10~\mathrm{p.m.}$ 

#### BEFORE:

ROGER JANSON, Director, NPDES Office JEANNE VOORHEES, Environmental Scientist U.S. Environmental Protection Agency New England Region I One Congress Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02114

GEORGE BERLANDI, P.E., Supervisor Permits & Compliance Section New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services 6 Hazen Drive Concord, NE 03302

**APEX Reporting** (617) 426-3077

ORIGINAL

	INDE	₹ X	
SPEAKER	<u> </u>	<del></del>	PAGE
Michael Blastos			8/28
Angelo DiBernardo,	Jr.		11
Frederick Parsells			15/21
Philip Pregent			15
Mr. Farrar			16/21
Glen Page			16
Lawrence Robinson			18/21
John Northcott			18
David Borden			19
Steve Clifton			21
David Dean			22

### PROCEEDINGS

2

1

(6:10 p.m.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JANSON: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Roger Janson, with the New England Regional Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

This hearing concerns the draft permit recently issued to the City of Keene for its wastewater treatment facility. This draft permit will be issued in final form upon consideration of the comments received during the public comment period.

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Program, or NPDES Program, issues permits to all facilities which discharge pollutants into waters in the United States.

The permit writer develops effluent limits, as well as monitoring and reporting requirements, based on information from the facility, federal regulations, state water quality standards, technical guidance published by EPA and the state and state and federal policy.

There's additional information on this program available in a Water Permitting 101 document, which is available in the back. If anybody wants to read a quick summary of the program, kind of from soup to nuts, please, feel free to take one. The document, also, includes several website addresses where you can find additional information about the program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EPA released the draft permit for public notice, on June 22nd, 2006, which opened the public comment period. The notice for this hearing was published in The Keene <u>Sentinel</u> and <u>The Union Leader</u> and was mailed to interested parties. During this time, the draft permit and a fact sheet explaining the permit have been available for interested parties to review and comment and by sending written comments to EPA (Attn: Jeanne-Kelly Voorhees) -- and I might note, on the side, if anybody is writing her name down, it's a double O; it's V, double O-R-H, double E-S -and/or by participating in this public hearing. You have all probably received or seen a copy of this permit and fact sheet, but in case you have not and would like a copy, some are available this evening, and if we run out of those and you still would like one, please, let us know before you leave this evening and we'll make sure you get one.

Tonight's hearing is an informal and non-adversarial hearing providing interested parties with an opportunity to make oral comments and/or to submit written comments on the proposed permit. There will be no cross-examination of either the panel or the commentors, and I should mention right now that sitting to my right is George Berlandi, from the New Hampshire DES. Any questions

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

directed to a commentor from a panel member or vice versa will be for clarification purposes only.

This public hearing is being recorded and will become part of the official administrative record for the permit; however, to insure the record's accuracy, we highly recommend and strongly urge that you submit written statements in addition to any comments you might make tonight.

Following the close of the public comment period, which currently is scheduled for August 4th, 2006, EPA will review and consider all comments received during the public comment period, both in writing and at tonight's public EPA will prepare a document known as a response to comments that will describe and address the significant issues raised during the comment period and what provisions, if any, of the draft permit have been changed and the reasons for any change.

When the permit is issued, both the response to comments and the final permit will be mailed to everyone who has commented on the draft permit, who has requested a copy and who has filled out attendance cards here tonight, whether or not you've indicated your desire to speak.

Anyone wishing to contest the final permit must file a petition for review with the Environmental Appeals Board, or better known as EAB. A couple of things,

important things to consider, if you're considering an appeal, first, the petition for review must be received by the EAB within 30 days after the permit is issued. More information on how to calculate this period are included and attached in the final permit and also, we have some additional information in the back of the room that, again, feel free to, please, take with you.

Only persons who filed comments on the draft permit during the public comment period or participated in the public hearing may petition the EAB to review the final permit limitations and conditions and lastly, persons seeking review of a permit decision, must raise all reasonably ascertainable issues and submit all reasonably available arguments supporting their position during the comment period, including the public hearing tonight. Issues or arguments that are not raised will not be considered by the EAB on appeal.

There is one exception to this, and that is that any person who failed to file comments or failed to participate in the public hearing, may petition the EAB to the extent of any changes from the draft to the final permit decision.

More information on this process and EAB requirements can be found in a handout entitled

Environmental Appeals Board Process, which is also available

in the back. There is a table setup behind the last door where you'll find this.

There is also a handout, again, with website addresses where you can find the information about the EAB and the practice manual with appeals details.

I'm going to begin tonight's hearing with a short presentation made by the permit applicant and representatives of the permittee and then I will be opening the floor to other members of the audience.

I'll start with elected officials or their representatives and then call on commentors in the order in which they have filled out tonight's cards.

Since we budgeted time from 6:00 to 9:00 for this hearing, there shouldn't be a problem with people presenting their materials over a five to 10 minute period, but I would ask that if you have lengthy materials that you'd like to read into the record, written materials, that you summarize them and make sure we get the written materials.

Having said that, and before the hearing closes tonight, just for the purposes of the audience, knowing we had received a request at the agency from the city requesting a time extension for the comment period, we have a copy of a response to that request which we will deliver to the city this evening. And before I formally close the hearing, I will announce the length of time by which we have

determined to extend the comment period which we have done.

So, having said that, I would ask Mayor Blastos to make the comment. Can we bring a mike over to the Mayor over there?

MR. BLASTOS: That's why I sat close.

(Pause.)

MR. BLASTOS: Thank you and good evening.

We appreciate the opportunity to appear here this evening before you and to make comments that we hope will convince you. So, listen carefully to Keene's arguments.

Keene is a proactive, very proactive community in its philosophy on the environmental issues. We are leaders interstate and actually, we are recognized as leaders in the nation, as well as having attended many international conferences on global warming, the environment and the like, and have always been looked at and sought about to make comments and to speak.

You see, every conference that we attend or that I have attended, Keene has always been the smallest community there, but when you compare our accomplishments with the bigger cities, the big boys, we're really right up there at the forefront.

As an example, we are the first comm -- New
Hampshire community to participate in Cities for Climate
Protection. We have been implementing the use of bio-diesel

1:1

in the city's vehicle equipment fleet. We reuse our methane gas from the city's closed landfill to generate power for our city's solid waste reflection. So, not only does that save us money, but it also reduces the emissions of greenhouse gases.

We even go so far as to employ bicycle patrolmen; rather than vehicular patrolmen as well.

We implemented a \$60,000.00 grant for restoration work on Beaver Brook to improve its overall quality, as Beaver Brook discharges into the Ashuelot River.

The city has actually balanced its environmental responsibility with accountability to the rate payers and that's very important.

After reviewing its efforts in the mid-1990s, the city actually doubled its spending in sewer rehabilitation.

Most recently, the city committed to an aggressive 12 million plus dollar in sewer rehabilitation cleaning and maintenance program over the next seven years to address its aging sewer infrastructure program.

The city is concerned that the current permit limits goes against the city's environmental stewardship and accountability philosophy due to the lack of information on the river as a whole.

The city is concerned that if a phosphorous limit is set now, prior to the completion of a river study,

significant funds, as high as 10 million dollars, could be spent and wasted.

The river does not visually appear to be impacted by phosphorous. No dead fish. No documented algae blooms. The prior river sampling shows a dissolved oxygen problem was done in 2001, 2002 and since then, that condition have changed.

Two significant oxygen reducing discharges have been identified and removed from Beaver Brook. The city has been removing 40 percent more phosphorous, since January, 2005, through chemical addition. Preliminary sampling in May, 2006, show lower phosphorous concentration in the Ashuelot downstream of the wastewater treatment plant than had been found since testing began in 2001.

It is not unreasonable to wait for the state to complete its work; so that local, technically based limits are determined.

Without a comprehensive river study, it will be difficult to develop appropriate phosphorus or any other pollutants limits to allocate among all wastewater treatment plants that discharge into the Ashuelot River.

The city is committed to the environment and is prepared to upgrade its wastewater treatment plant when appropriate limits are determined using scientific methods.

With that in mind, I say, gentlemen, once again,

we have appreciated the opportunity to speak to you and I'll 1 2 give you a copy of my record of my statement; so, that we 3 can have --MR. JANSON: Thanks. MR. BLASTOS: -- that for the record. Thank you. Thank you, Mayor, and I was remiss in 6 MR. JANSON: my opening comments, which we always do, and we'd just like 7 to thank the City of Keene for making this facility 8 9 available to us this evening. 10 MR. BLASTOS: You're welcome. 11 MR. JANSON: For that, Mayor, thank you. 12 Next, I would ask, from the city, well, are there 13 any federal or state elected officials here or their representatives, who would like to say anything before we 14 15 move forward? 16 (No response.) 17 MR. JANSON: Seeing none, then, I'll ask 18 Mr. Angelo DiBernardo, Keene City Council. 19 MR. DiBERNARDO: Thank you. 20 It's always difficult to follow the Mayor. so eloquent, but we do what we have to do. 21 I thank you for the opportunity to speak this 22 23 evening and I'm not here to beg, nor demand anything. here in the hope that our communications will result in the 24 25 government setting up phosphorous discharge level that will

not only protect the environment, but will be affordable to the citizens of our community.

The Mayor has touched upon the fact that Keene is recognized as a leader in the protection of the environment, and we are justly proud of our efforts to preserve nature. We will continue to do our share and all that we ask is that we do not be forced into spending millions of dollars in an attempt to achieve a phosphate level that has been set prior to the collection of pertinent data.

Without going into great detail, just let me mention a few topics that could be considered before setting the phosphate levels.

As the Mayor mentioned, the City of Keene has stepped into an aggressive 12 million dollar plus program to address deficiencies in the wastewater collection system. This indicates that we, obviously, have concern and are addressing environment issues.

The city has just implemented a 30 percent increase and will continue to increase its sewer rates to cover the cost of the current wastewater collection, cleaning and repair programs.

To continue funding a wastewater collection, cleaning and repair program over the next six years, will require a residential rate payor to pay an average increase of eight plus percent per year. So, the community is going

to feel the cost of addressing these problems.

11.

.12

To meet the proposed limit, approximately 10 million dollars will be needed to be expended. This level of expenditure will require potential increases of an additional 10 to 20 percent between 2006 to 2009, on top of the already implemented 30 percent increases.

After completion of the river study, if a proper phosphorous limit is greater than the EPA proposed limit, then we may have wasted money if we have gone ahead. If we had gone ahead before we know what our goal really should be, we may be wasting money.

This would be wise, until the studies were complete and we knew for sure what the proper phosphorous level could be.

So, I guess, we're just asking for a little more time to insure that all the testing is done before we set this limit.

Hopefully, the river study would be scheduled for 2009 by the state and although this is quite a few months away, it's not an unreasonable length of time and it just seems wise to wait until we have that data and I would appreciate that we do that.

There is no proof that the river is, is being impacted at this moment and as the Mayor pointed out, there is no reason to move quickly to address a problem that we're

.3

17.

I guess, my big concern at the moment -- because I believe, as the Mayor said, that the city is doing its best to address the environmental issues, the biggest problem to me is what it's going to cost the taxpayers, what it's going to cost the community and we're not afraid to step up and pay our bills for what should be done. We just don't want to be premature and waste any money at all.

So, I guess, that's why waiting sometimes certainly doesn't hurt, and that's what I'd be asking for.

Let's not move too quickly on this issue.

Also, Keene is the economic center of the county, and anything that affects Keene has a tremendous impact on the Monadnock region. So, as Keene goes, so goes the county and if we spend wisely, it will benefit the entire southern part of the state.

I guess that's -- all that I'm asking is that, be advised that this project places a significant financial burden on the citizens of Keene and whereas, we have a proven history of doing the right thing when it comes to the environment, please, don't force us to spend money on a project until we have a proven goal that is based on scientific evidence.

I thank you for your consideration.

MR. JANSON: Thank you, sir.

**APEX Reporting** (617) 426-3077

Although I'm a little unsure whether this 1 gentleman checked either yes or no, Mr. Fredrick Parsells? 2 3 MR. PARSELLS: I indicated that I wasn't sure. 4 So, it's not a yes or no at this time. 5 MR. JANSON: Okay. 6 MR. PARSELLS: Thank you. 7 MR. JANSON: I'll come back to you if you chose to 8 change your mind. 9 MR. PARSELLS: Thank you, very much. 10 MR. JANSON: Next, would be Philip Pregent, Pregent. 11 12 MR. PREGENT: Pregent. 13 MR. JANSON: Pregent. 14 MR. PREGENT: Watch the cord. Okay. 15 (Pause.) 16 MR. PREGENT: Thank you, very much, for this 17 opportunity. 18 I will be very brief and after talking with the city officials and hearing our Mayor and Councilor, I would 19 say that I'm very concerned that we do not have the state 20 21 regulations, and it's going to be some time before we do. 22 We do not have the necessary guidelines that we would like and we have no current studies that we need to 23 24 make this a viable operation and we are only asking for a

fair evaluation before we have to tax the residents of the

25

City of Keene. This is so informal, but I would be 2 Thank you. more than happy to give it to you if you would like. 3 4 (Laughter.) Well, you, you can either --5 MR. JANSON: I will --6 MR. PREGENT: 7 MR. JANSON: Believe me, your comments --8 MR. PREGENT: I will leave that with great honor. 9 Your comments are recorded and MR. JANSON: hopefully, in the end result, nobody's comments go unnoticed 10 11 and unanswered. So, we are obligated to do that. MR. PREGENT: 12 I understand. 13 MR. JANSON: So, please, please, be assured we 14 take all comments we receive seriously. May not always 15 agree with the outcome that we come out with, but we do 16 address everyone. 17 Next is Mr. Farrar, Farrar? However you would 18 pronounce it? 19 I'm fine. Thank you. MR. FARRAR: 20 MR. JANSON: Okay. I will put you back in that 21 column. 22 Then, moving on, would be the chairman from the 23 Swanzey Sewer Commission, Mr. Page. 24 (Pause.)

MR. PAGE:

I'm Glen Page from the Swanzey Sewer

25

1,8

Commission. The North Swanzey of our town conveys wastewater to the Keene Wastewater Treatment Facility. So our uses are directly impacted by any new requirements; including this draft permit.

The Swanzey Sewer Commission does recommend and support Keene's position of requesting a 180 day time extension for public comment. The changes to the MPDES will require a new treatment process, will require planning, design and construction.

Some of the cost impact of these changes are not known at this point, but they are thought to be significant, in the order of eight to ten million dollars. Our sewer users may be significantly impacted, and we need time to understand the ramifications and educate our users.

Of particular concern is the information included in the fact sheet for the draft permit. This information indicates that all the studies have not been completed and will not be completed until 2009 and therefore, these changes may or may not be necessary.

How can we have our users pay a significant increase in cost for treatment facilities that have unknown permit limits at this time?

We ask that the EPA and the NHDES revisit the sampling data performed in 2001 and 2002 because data was collected prior to improvements made to the Keene Wastewater

1 T t

4

5

.6

. 7

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Treatment Facility and may not reflect the current reflect the current state of the river.

We also ask that the EPA wait until the TMDL river study is performed with the new data before imposing these new limits.

There are also plans to remove the Homestead Dam in West Swanzey, which may change the characteristics of the river and the EPA's assumptions.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment, and here's a copy.

MR. JANSON: Thank you, sir. Appreciate it. (Pause.)

MR. JANSON: Next is Lawrence Robinson, who is a Selectman in the Town of Marlboro.

MR. ROBINSON: I'm going to defer at this time.

MR. JANSON: Okay. The next gentleman is Mr. John Northcott, also a Selectman in Marlboro.

MR. NORTHCOTT: Since we're a small town, I'll keep my comments short and small.

We're a town of 2,000. We're a co-permittee with the City of Keene. The town, itself, is very active in conservation and the environment. We're one of the -- we've set a new standard about a year and a half ago when the town passed a million dollar bond issue to conserve land and get easements.

25

24

2.5

We watch the Miniwawa Brook very closely, which runs through, and I kind of feel like we've got a tiger by the tail. All the big boys are playing and we're sort of, dragged along. But I think the point I'd like to make and to sum it up, is the Town of Marlboro really doesn't have the means to finance a guess, and I can't, I have to stress that we'd like to see a scientific study to determine phosphorus rubbles and then, would be willing to pay our share.

Thank you.

MR. JANSON: Thank you, sir.

Next would be a Mr. David Borden owner and operator of the People's Linen.

MR. BORDEN: Hello. My name is Dave Borden from People's Linen, here in Keene, New Hampshire. People's is one of the larger water users in Keene and we support the city's position on waiting for better studies to set this limit.

I think, we employ about 150 people down there. Most live from the Keene area. People's Linen Rental is what they term in our industry, as linen rental type laundry. We're not an industrial launderer. We're not a hospital launderer, per se. We service the tourist industry, the hotels and restaurants for the most part.

Let's see? It's nice to hear the Mayor's comments

because I think a lot of things in Keene are the same way.

We look to conserve. We're frugal Yankee ingenuity. We try
to do with less and when you do with less, you do some
things right. You save money, but I think you save the
environment too.

I feel like we're one of the leaders in our industry, and in 1985, we employed more water conserving machinery, and again, in 1998, we bought washers that are continuous batch washers. They use less water to get the job done.

Another thing that was developed by our trade association is called the ESP Program, Environmental Stewardship Program, and it's our industry association's way of trying to do the right things before being asked to by the EPA and trying to be proactive.

I think we -- just to finish up, we think that any slack you can cut us to make this a real business decision; so that we're not wasting the money, because we are going to foot the bill for whatever is done and we feel good about doing that just as long as it's spent prudently.

Thank you.

MR. JANSON: Thank you, Mr. Borden.

I'm now down to the four gentlemen who have passed or said, maybe, and I'll start with the first one, who hadn't yet been identified, and that would be Mr. Steve

1	Clifton. Steve?
2	MR. CLIFTON: I'd like to defer at this time.
3	MR. JANSON: Okay.
4	MR. CLIFTON: I'm all set. Mr. Page spoke well
5	for the Swanzey Sewer Commission.
6	MR. JANSON: Okay. Thank you.
7	Mr. Parsells?
8	MR. PARSELLS: Thank you, anything I would say
9	would be clearly redundant from what was said.
10	MR. JANSON: Okay. Thank you, sir.
11	Mr. Robinson?
12	MR. ROBINSON: I think that anything I would say
13	is redundant and my colleagues have said it quiet well.
14	MR. JANSON: Okay. And Mr. Farrar. I guess I
15	mispronounced it the first time.
16	MR. FARRAR: Farrar.
17	MR. JANSON: Farrar.
18	MR. FARRAR: I feel the same way. Thank you.
19	MR. JANSON: Okay. Having gone through all of
20	these, to my left is Jeanne Voorhees, who obviously had a
21	successful house closing and made it from Swampscott in time
22	for this.
23	(Laughter.)
24	MS. VOORHEES: Oh, thank you.
25	MR. BERLANDI: Congratulations.

**APEX Reporting** (617) 426-3077

around phosphorous and unfortunately, I did not have a copy of the fact sheet until this evening and I will do some more

25

APEX Reporting (617) 426-3077 work on it.

3.

I don't think setting the phosphorous level as it's set in the draft permit is a guess. It may not be based on information that was taken from the river yesterday, but it is based on 2001, 2002 data and it uses a scientific standard in terms of setting the phosphorous level as I understand it and I will make sure that Keene gets a copy of my written comments once I've had a chance to do research on that.

Also, this actually is not particularly a question for EPA, but I'd like to know who came up with the estimated cost of 10 million dollars for this because that is information that is, in fact, not available in the information that I see here this evening. So, maybe somebody from Keene and I can talk briefly after this hearing.

I will be submitting written comments by the deadline.

Thank you.

MR. JANSON: Thank you, sir.

Before I ask if there is anybody else who would like to talk, just as a point of clarification, once the comment period is closed and we have all of the comments, anybody who would like those comments are certainly free to get copies of those comments. They're part of the record.

They're available to everybody.

Also, the transcript of this hearing, once it's completed, is part of the record and is available and we will be posting all of it on our website. So, it will be easy for people to get a hold of presuming that we get past all the firewalls and securities in our system, you'll find it there.

Is there anybody else here this evening that would like to speak?

(No verbal response.)

MR. JANSON: Well, now, we've created a little bit of a conundrum for ourselves here because we advertised this from 6:00 to 9:00. So, I feel compelled, I think, to stay here beyond quarter of seven in case anybody comes in late, a little bit later expecting to say something. So, we will do that.

I will at least take a formal recess; so we won't be recording idle and odd comments. I'd not like to fall into the, kind of, President Bush, kind of, on the microphone, off-the-record comment process. So we can avoid that.

UNKNOWN: Yes. Please don't massage any shoulders.

MR. JANSON: Right, and I won't do that either. (Laughter.)

MR. JANSON: So, with that, I will adjourn -- not adjourn. I will take a recess for at least 15 minutes until approximately 7:00. I'm dealing with an old stem line watch and I'm not sure whether it's running on time or not, but I'm a minute or two off.

UNKNOWN: Perfect.

MR. JANSON: I'll take a recess, call for a recess until 7:00 by the clock in the back of this room and that works. At which time, I will then come back and if nobody has come into the room, I'll probably put us back into session very quickly to announce the public comment period extension date.

I will probably put us back into recess for a little bit of time, but anybody who feels as though they want to leave at that point, can leave. I'll hold the fort down for awhile. Thank you. You're released until 7:00 p.m.

(A recess was held from 6:44 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.)

MR. JANSON: I'm going to try to go back in to session and then back into recess, and I'll, kind of, be as brief as, for as long as it takes me to finish my talking here.

I'm going to call this hearing back into session.

Is there anybody who has arrived since we went into recess or anybody who would like to add any comments to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(No verbal response.)

MR. JANSON: Now, I'm not going to officially move to close the hearing yet. I think I'm obligated to be here for awhile longer in case anybody does come in and ask to I will be going back into recess for an undefined period of time folks. If they feel like leaving, certainly

We had brought with us and a copy delivered to the City's counsel, Mr. Serell, a response to a request for a time extension.

We have, for reasons that are stated in the letter, which you can certainly read, we have moved to extend the comment period to the close, actually, the close of the business, it would be midnight on August 21st. The 21st? No. It's 21 days. The 25th. It's a Friday. Thanks, Drew.

August 25th, which in essence means, as long as it's in the mail by midnight, we'll get it Monday or Tuesday or Wednesday and it would be considered to be in the record. Certainly, I would encourage the city to work with Drew to look at our response and, you know, I won't advise you what to do one way or the other, but we have now set that as the date.

That date and time applies to anybody here who has

APEX Reporting (617) 426-3077

made comments, and would like to add additional comments to 1 2 what they've already submitted, or would like to follow up on their verbal comments to submit something in writing for 3 4 the record. So again, it's midnight, August 25th. 5 6 directs you where to send those comments, and to the 7 attention to Jeanne Kelly-Voorhees, who wrote the, the 8 permit writer. Is there anything anybody would like to add for 9 the record before I move into recess again? 10 11 (No verbal response.) 12 MR. JANSON: Hearing no one, I will, then, 13 MR. BLASTOS: I have a question? 14 MR. JANSON: Yes, Mayor? 15 MR. BLASTOS: Did you mention that you were going to tell us the date that has been set, or --16 17 MR. JANSON: Well, the original --MR. BLASTOS: -- did I misunderstand you or --18 19 MR. JANSON: The original date, based on the notice, was August 4th, which again, I believe was a Friday 20 and we chose to extend it 21 days beyond to August 25th, 21 22 which is, also, a Friday. So, basically, four weeks, four weeks from this coming Friday. Four weeks from tomorrow. 23 24 MR. BLASTOS: Okay. Thank you. 25 MR. JANSON: I have a little trouble with my

**APEX Reporting** (617) 426-3077

dates. I rolled out of bed at three this morning. So, I'm loosing --2 3 MR. BLASTOS: Travelling is not that bad. MR. JANSON: 4 No, no. 5 (Laughter.) MR. JANSON: No, I was up early. -6 I couldn't 7 So, with that, and unless there are any other 8 comments, I will, again, move to put this hearing into recess for, it's about 7:07 on the clock. I'm going to put 9 10 it into recess until 7:30 and then see if there are any stragglers who might come in. 11 12 This hearing is recessed until 7:30 p.m. as timed 13 by the clock in the back of the room. 14 (A recess was held from 7:07 p.m. to 7:32 p.m.) 15 MR. JANSON: If I can have everybody's attention 16 for a second? 17 I'm going to actually, put this back into session again, reopen it, and I will do that starting now. 18 19 This hearing for the Keene Permit is back in 20 session. Is there anybody in the audience that wishes to 21 22 either add a comment to the record or supplement the comments previously made? 23 24 (No verbal response.) 25 MR. JANSON: I'm going to try for --

**APEX Reporting** (617) 426-3077

1 UNKNOWN: Nobody new has come in. MR. JANSON: I'm going to try for -- no, no 2 penalty for finishing early, but I'm going to put the 3 hearing back into recess until eight o'clock, and at which 4 point, I will probably just close the hearing if nobody else 5 6 has come in. 7. UNKNOWN: That seems more than reasonable. 8 MR. JANSON: Deal with it later. Having said that this hearing is now, back in recess until 8:00 p.m. 9 (A recess was held from 7:33 p.m. to 8:02 p.m.) 10 MR. JANSON: All right. This hearing on the draft 11 permit issue to the City of Keene is now back in session. 12 I note, for the record, that since we went into 13 recess at 7:30, no additional folks have entered the room. 14 In fact, everybody has basically left with a couple of 15 16 exceptions. 17 Is there anybody in the room that would like to 18 either add or amend the record? 19 (No verbal response.) 20 MR. JANSON: Hearing none, I will now move to formally close the hearing. 21 22 I would like to remind everybody, for the record, that we have extended the public comment period until 23 midnight on August 25th, which is a Friday four weeks from 24 25 tomorrow.

3

With that, I will now close the hearing, and thank everybody for attending.

(Whereupon, on July 27th, 2006, at 8:02 p.m., the public hearing was closed.)

# CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER AND TRANSCRIBER

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before: U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY in the Matter of:

DRAFT NPDES DISCHARGE PERMIT FOR THE CITY OF KEENE, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Place: Keene New Hampshire

Date: June 27, 2006

were held as herein appears, and that this is the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the notes and/or recordings taken of the above entitled proceeding.

D. Strzemienski

07/27/06

Reporter

Date

L. O'Leary

08/18/06

Transcriber

Date

